Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/19: CIA-RDP87S00869R000600080015-7



TESTIMONY OF		25X1
of the Nouse Comme HOUSE ADMED CODYLOGIS	SFORE THE Subcommunitie a simil see	metter on downstryation

POLYGRAPH AT THE
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

CONCERNING THE USE OF THE

6 SEPTEMBER 1984

25X1

MR. CHAIRMAN, MY NAME IS

DIRECTOR OF SECURITY FOR THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, A

POSITION I HAVE HELD SINCE 1980. PRIOR TO THAT I HELD VARIOUS

SECURITY POSITIONS SINCE JOINING THE AGENCY IN 1951. I BEGAN

MY CAREER AT THE AGENCY AS A POLYGRAPH EXAMINER.

I AM HERE TODAY TO EXPLAIN HOW WE USE THE POLYGRAPH
INTERVIEW AT THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA) AS AN
INTEGRAL AND VITAL PART OF OUR OVERALL SECURITY PROGRAM. WE
WANT TO MAKE THREE IMPORTANT POINTS:

FIRST, AS DEMONSTRATED THROUGH THIRTY SIX YEARS OF EXPERIENCE AT THE CIA, THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW IS THE SINGLE MOST EFFECTIVE TOOL IN DEVELOPING AND VERIFYING SECURITY-RELATED INFORMATION;

SECOND, THE SUCCESS OF THE AGENCY'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM IS

BASED NOT ONLY ON POLYGRAPH QUESTIONS BUT ALSO UPON DISCUSSIONS

CONCERNING THOSE QUESTIONS;

THIRD, THE AGENCY'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM IS FAIR AND PROVIDES AN APPROPRIATE BALANCE BETWEEN THE INDIVIDUAL'S RIGHTS AND THE NEED TO PROTECT THE NATIONAL SECURITY.

THE AGENCY'S INITIAL EXPOSURE TO THE POLYGRAPH CAME IN 1948 WHEN THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE, ADMIRAL HILLENKOETER, AUTHORIZED A STUDY OF ITS POSSIBLE VALUE IN PERSONNEL SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS, USING AGENCY VOLUNTEERS AS SUBJECTS. AT THE CLOSE OF 1948, 123 PERSONS HAD BEEN TESTED AND THE UTILITY OF THE TECHNIQUE WAS IMMEDIATELY DEMONSTRATED.

SPECIFICALLY, SIGNIFICANT PERSONNEL SECURITY INFORMATION NOT PREVIOUSLY KNOWN WAS DEVELOPED IN SO MANY CASES THAT IT BECAME OBVIOUS THAT THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION WAS UNIQUE AS A MECHANISM TO IDENTIFY SECURITY FACTORS NOT AVAILABLE FROM ANY OTHER SOURCE.

IN 1949, THE AGENCY BEGAN TO INVESTIGATE THE UTILITY OF THE POLYGRAPH IN CHECKING THE OPERATIONAL SECURITY STATUS OF FOREIGN AGENTS. HERE TOO, THE POLYGRAPH QUICKLY PROVED ITSELF TO BE INVALUBLE, AND BY 1952 THE AGENCY'S OPERATIONAL POLYGRAPH PROGRAM WAS IN EFFECT WORLDWIDE. THE OPERATIONAL POLYGRAPH PROGRAM RESPONDS TO INDIVIDUAL REQUESTS FROM THE AGENCY'S DIRECTORATE OF OPERATIONS FOR TESTING OF ITS AGENTS ON THEIR OPERATIONAL SECURITY STATUS OR ON ITEMS OF INFORMATION THEY PROVIDE.

IN 1953, DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE ALLEN DULLES
REAFFIRMED USE OF THE POLYGRAPH AND FORMALIZED ITS UTILIZATION
AS A ROUTINE AND REQUIRED PHASE OF THE SECURITY SCREENING OF
APPLICANTS. OVER SUBSEQUENT YEARS, POLICY HAS BEEN REFINED
PROGRESSIVELY WITH RESPECT TO WHO WILL BE TESTED, WHAT ISSUES
WILL BE COVERED, AND HOW THE PROGRAM SHOULD BE ADMINISTERED.

DURING THE EARLY YEARS OF THE AGENCY'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM,
POLYGRAPH TRAINING ALTERNATED BETWEEN INTERNAL TRAINING EFFORTS
AND TRAINING AT VARIOUS EXTERNAL SCHOOLS. SINCE NOVEMBER 1982,
THE AGENCY HAS OPERATED ITS OWN POLYGRAPH SCHOOL FOR PURPOSES
OF COST EFFECTIVENESS AND SPECIALLY TAILORED TRAINING. THE

SCHOOL HAS BEEN FORMALLY ACCREDITED BY THE AMERICAN POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION. THE TRAINEES ARE CAREFULLY SELECTED AND TRAINED IN THE TECHNIQUES AND POLICIES THAT ARE UNIQUE TO THE NEEDS, EMPHASIS AND CONTROLS FEATURED IN THE CIA POLYGRAPH PROGRAM.

TODAY THE AGENCY'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM IS RUN BY WELL-TRAINED AND CLOSELY-SUPERVISED EXAMINERS WHO HAVE HAD A SIX-MONTH PERIOD OF FORMAL TRAINING FOLLOWED BY AN INTERNSHIP FEATURING CLOSE SUPERVISION, MONITORING AND PERIODIC ATTENDANCE AT SEMINARS AND TECHNICAL MEETINGS. IN ADDITION TO NORMAL TECHNICAL POLYGRAPH TRAINING, AGENCY EXAMINERS RECEIVE INSTRUCTION FROM THE AGENCY'S OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL ON THE CONSTITUTIONAL, LEGAL AND ETHICAL ISSUES INVOLVED IN THE POLYGRAPH PROCESS.

IN THE INTEREST OF QUALITY CONTROL, NO INTERVIEW IS

CONCLUDED UNTIL SUPERVISORY REVIEW OF POLYGRAPH CHARTS IS

CONDUCTED, EXCEPT, OF COURSE, WHERE TESTING IS CONDUCTED IN THE

FIELD WHERE IMMEDIATE SUPERVISORY REVIEW CANNOT BE ACCOMPLISHED.

ALL AGENCY POLYGRAPH EXAMINERS OPERATE WITHIN STRICT
GUIDELINES THAT ENSURE THAT THE SUBJECT UNDERSTANDS THE NATURE
AND PURPOSE OF THE INTERVIEW, THE ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED, THE
EXACT QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED, AND THE SUBJECT'S CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS IN ALL OF THIS. ALL PROSPECTIVE INTERVIEWEES ARE
PROTECTED BY AGENCY POLICY THAT REQUIRES THE SUBJECT'S CONSENT
IN WRITING, THAT REQUIRES STRICT LIMITS ON DISSEMINATION OF
POLYGRAPH-DERIVED INFORMATION AND THAT PROHIBITS POLYGRAPH
TESTING WHEN IT APPEARS THAT SUCH TESTING MIGHT AGGRAVATE
PHYSICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS.

AT THIS TIME, POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY'S POLYGRAPH DIVISION. DURING FISCAL YEARS 1982 AND 1983, APPROXIMATELY 60 PERCENT OF THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEWS WERE CONDUCTED IN CONNECTION WITH PROCESSING FOR STAFF-LIKE ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION. THE REMAINDER OF THE CASES WERE REINVESTIGATIONS CASES, INDUSTRIAL POLYGRAPH INTERVIEWS, OR OPERATIONAL POLYGRAPH INTERVIEWS.

THE AGENCY'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM IS GEARED TO AN EXAMINATION OF THOSE PERSONNEL SECURITY FACTORS WHICH, OVER TIME, HAVE EMERGED AS THE MOST SIGNIFICANT IN TERMS OF THE THREAT TO AGENCY PERSONNEL, AGENCY FACILITIES, AND AGENCY CLASSIFIED INFORMATION. THE SCOPE OF TESTING CAN VARY FROM FULL COVERAGE OF SUCH ISSUES AS FOREIGN CONTACTS, FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES, MISUSE OR REVELATION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION, CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, DRUG ABUSE AND EXTREME FINANCIAL VULNERABILITIES TO SPECIFIC-ISSUE TESTING ON INDIVIDUAL PROBLEM ISSUES OR ALLEGATIONS. THE BASIC TESTING FORMATS USED IN THE CIA POLYGRAPH PROGRAM ARE AS FOLLOWS:

FULL COVERAGE IS USED UPON THE ENTRANCE-ONDUTY OF STAFF EMPLOYEES AND STAFF-LIKE
ASSOCIATES (THE LATTER HAVE UNESCORTED
ACCESS TO CIA FACILITIES).

THE SAME COVERAGE IS USED BEFORE COMPLETION OF THE TRIAL PERIOD OF NEW EMPLOYEES.

MORE FOCUSED COVERAGE AT PERIODIC INTERVALS
IS USED AS A PART OF ROUTINE REINVESTIGATION
OF STAFF EMPLOYEES.

SPECIFIC ISSUE TESTING OF EMPLOYEES IS USED TO RESOLVE SPECIFIC SECURITY CONCERNS.

TAILORED EXAMINATIONS OF OPERATIONAL ASSETS

ARE USED IN DIRECT SUPPORT OF THE AGENCY'S

FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE-GATHERING ACTIVITIES.

LIMITED COVERAGE IS USED TO TEST CERTAIN KEY INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL.

FOR OPERATIONAL CASES, THE EXAMINER CONSULTS WITH THE
REQUESTING COMPONENT OF THE DIRECTORATE OF OPERATIONS TO
DETERMINE WHAT ISSUES ARE TO BE COVERED AND THE QUESTIONS TO BE
ASKED. FOR ALL OTHER TYPES OF CASES, APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS ARE
DEVELOPED BY THE OFFICE OF SECURITY (OCCASIONALLY IN
CONSULTATION WITH ADVISORY BODIES SUCH AS THE COUNTERINTELLIGENCE STAFF AND THE INSPECTOR GENERAL). THIS IS TO ENSURE
COMPLETENESS AND UNIFORMITY OF COVERAGE FOR EACH TYPE OF
CASES. EXAMINERS ARE INSTRUCTED ON, AND MUST BE COMPLETELY
FAMILIAR WITH, THE TRUE INTENT AND MEANING OF THE QUESTIONS FOR
EACH TYPE OF CASE. UNIFORMITY OF PROCEDURE AND COVERAGE IS

1

CRITICAL TO THE AGENCY'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM, BOTH IN TERMS OF EQUITABLE TREATMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL AND FOCUS ON LEGITIMATE AREAS OF SECURITY INTEREST.

BEFORE ADDRESSSING SOME OF THE CRITICISMS LEVELED AT THE POLYGRAPH, I WOULD LIKE TO STRESS THAT THE POLYGRAPH IS ESSENTIAL TO DETERMINING THE SECURITY SUITABILITY OF APPPLICANTS AND EMPLOYEES. THE GRANTING OF A CLEARANCE OR A SECURITY APPROVAL BY THE AGENCY REQUIRES A TOTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL AS A WHOLE PERSON. IT HAS BEEN THE AGENCY'S EXPERIENCE THAT THERE IS NO TOOL AS EFFECTIVE AS THE POLYGRAPH IN DEVELOPING THIS CLEAR, COMPLETE PICTURE.

MUCH AS BEEN SAID PRO AND CON ABOUT THE VALIDITY AND THE RELIABILITY OF THE POLYGRAPH. THIS AREA DEFIES SIMPLISTIC ANSWERS. THERE ARE SEVERAL REASONS FOR THIS, I BELIEVE:

FIRST, POLYGRAPHY IN PRACTICE IS CONSIDERABLY MORE COMPLEX THAN IS RECOGNIZED BY THE MAJORITY OF CRITICS. THOSE OUTSIDE THE FIELD, NOT SCHOOLED IN ITS METHODOLOGY, OFTEN PRESUME THAT IT IS A SIMPLE MECHANICAL PROCEDURES RATHER THAN A HIGHLY TECHNICAL PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITY. THIS MISCONCEPTION HAS BEEN COMPOUNDED BY THE MEDIA AND BY TV SHOWS SUCH AS "THE LIE DETECTOR." AS AN ANALOGY, HIGH READINGS ON BLOOD PRESSURE MACHINES NOW FOUND IN SHOPPING MALLS ARE NOT PROOF OF A DISEASE. IT TAKES A PHYSICIAN TO ACCURATELY DIAGNOSE ILLNESS.

SECOND, CONTROLLED STUDIES TEND TO ADDRESS ONLY HIGHLY SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF THE POLYGRAPH PROCESS. BROAD GENERALIZATIONS FROM THESE STUDIES QUITE NATURALLY INVITE CONTROVERSY. MOREOVER, LABORATORY SETTINGS WHERE POLYGRAPH RESEARCH IS OFTEN DONE LACK THE MOST CRITICAL ELEMENT OF A VALID POLYGRAPH ENVIRONMENT, AND THAT IS THE REAL-LIFE FEAR OF DETECTION PRESENT WHEN A SUBJECT ATTEMPTS TO DECEIVE THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINER ABOUT A MATTER OF IMPORTANCE TO THE SUBJECT.

THIRD, THE PRACTICE OF POLYGRAPHY TAKES SEVERAL DIFFERENT FORMS, SUCH AS SINGLE-ISSUE CRIMINAL TESTING BY SAY, LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, EMPLOYEE SCREENING BY PRIVATE INDUSTRY, AND SPECIALIZED TESTINGS AS PRACTICED BY VARIOUS FEDERAL AGENCIES. ANY GENERALIZATIONS REGARDING THE USE OF THE POLYGRAPH IN ANY ONE OF THESE FORMS CANNOT AND SHOULD NOT APPLY TO ALL FORMS OF POLYGRAPHY.

FOURTH, MANY DISCUSSIONS OF POLYGRAPHY APPEAR TO TAKE PLACE IN AN EMOTIONALLY-LOADED ATMOSPHERE IN WHICH PARTICIPANTS FREQUENTLY SUPPORT A PRE-DETERMINED VIEWPOINT.

I WOULD PREFER IN THIS HEARING TO CONCENTRATE ON THE AGENCY'S EXPERIENCE WITH THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW AND CONCENTRATE ON ITS UTILITY AS A SECURITY TOOL. I AM REFLECTING

HERE ON THE AGENCY'S USE OF THE POLYGRAPH WHICH HAS EXTENDED FOR THE LAST 36 YEARS AND INCLUDES MORE THAN 120,000 CASES AND ALMOST ONE MILLION POLYGRAPH CHARTS. I CAN STATE WITHOUT RESERVATION THAT THE POLYGRAPH IS THE MOST PRODUCTIVE AND VALUABLE TECHNIQUE IN THE CIA PERSONNEL SECURITY ARENA. IT IS NOT INFALLIABLE AND IT IS NOT A PANACEA, BUT I WANT YOU TO CONSIDER ITS UTILITY AS REFLECTED IN THE FOLLOWING:

IN 1982, FOR EXAMPLE, THE POLYGPAPH DEVFLOPED NEW AND SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION NOT SURFACED BY OTHER SCREENING DEVICES IN:

- 70 PERCENT OF THE ENTRANCE-ON-DUTY CASES,
- 25 PERCENT OF THE TRAIL-PERIOD CASES,
- 31 PERCENT OF THE REINVESTIGATION CASES, AND
- 43 PERCENT OF THE INDUSTRIAL CASES.

BEAR IN MIND THAT THESE STATISTICS REPRESENT THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEW INFORMATION, NOT NECESSARILY CLEARANCE DENIAL NOR CLEARANCE REVOCATION.

IN A 1980 PERSONNEL SECURITY SURVEY PUBLISHED BY THE DCI
SECURITY COMMITTEE AND SUBMITTED WITH MY WRITTEN MATERIALS, 507
CIA SECURITY CLEARANCE CASES WERE STUDIED. ALL OF THE CASES
WERE COMPLETED BETWEEN OCTOBER 1, 1979 AND JANUARY 31, 1980.
ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY OF THESE DID NOT INCLUDE A POLYGRAPH;
327 FEATURED THE POLYGRAPH. OF THE 180 CASES IN WHICH THE
POLYGRAPH WAS NOT USED, INFORMATION OF SECURITY SIGNIFICANCE

WAS FOUND IN 37, OR 20.5 PERCENT. THE 327 CASES WITH POLYGRAPH RESULTED IN 199 (OR 60.8 PERCENT) CONTAINING INFORMATION OF SECURITY SIGNIFICANCE. THE DIFFERENCE IS DRAMATIC AND, TO MY MIND, STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE POLYGRAPH'S EFFECTIVENESS FOR SECURITY SCREENING PROGRAMS.

FOR THE YEARS 1978 THROUGH 1982, THERE WERE A TOTAL OF

1,785 CIA SECURITY DISAPPROVALS WHICH RESULTED FROM

POLYGRAPH-DERIVED INFORMATION. THIS FIGURE REPRESENTS 89

PERCENT OF ALL SECURITY DISAPPROVALS ISSUED DURING THAT

PERIOD. I SUBMIT THAT THIS FIGURE SUGGESTS A DISTURBING

PROSPECT: 1,785 INDIVIDUALS WHO DID NOT MEET SECURITY STANDARDS

FOR ACCESS TO SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION WOULD HAVE

BEEN GIVEN ACCESS TO SUCH INFORMATION AT CIA HAD IT NOT BEEN

FOR THE POLYGRAPH PROGRAM.

THE MOST IMPORTANT POINT TO MAKE ABOUT CIA SECURITY
DISAPPROVAL CASES IS THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF THEM ARE BASED
UPON INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE INDIVIDUAL DURING THE COURSE
OF THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW. IN CASES WHERE DECEPTION IS
DETECTED, THE EXAMINER WILL ENTER INTO A DISCUSSION WITH THE
INDIVIDUAL TO OBTAIN AN EXPLANATION FOR HIS/HER REACTION.
EVALUATION OF ANY INFORMATION THUS DEVELOPED THEN, SERVES AS
THE BASIS FOR THE DISAPPROVAL ACTION RATHER THAN RELIANCE ON
INDICATIONS OF DECEPTION ON THE POLYGRAPH CHARTS.

RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE INDIVIDUAL DURING THE POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION, THE CIA'S POLYGRAPH PROGRAM HAS BEEN CAREFULLY DESIGNED TO ENSURE THAT AN

EQUITABLE BALANCE EXISTS BETWEEN THE NEED OF THE AGENCY TO OBTAIN AND VERIFY INVESTIGATIVE INFORMATION AND THE NEED TO AFFORD CERTAIN BASIC PROTECTIONS TO THE INDIVIDUAL. THE AGENCY DOES NOT POLYGRAPH U.S. PERSONS WITHOUT THEIR WRITTEN CONSENT. EACH INDIVIDUAL MUST SIGN A CONSENT FORM BEFORE THE POLYGRAPH TEST IS ADMINISTERED. THIS CONSENT FORM ENSURES THAT THE INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN APPRISED OF HIS OR HER RIGHT AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION UNDER THE FIFTH AMENDMENT, THAT ANY NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION OR INFORMATION RELATING TO VIOLATIONS OF LAW MAY BE REPORTED TO APPROPRIATE FEDERAL AGENCIES, AND THAT THE SESSION MAY BE MONITORED AND/OR RECORDED TO ENSURE ACCURACY AND COMPLIANCE WITH THESE SAFEGUARDS.

CAREFULLY DELINEATED PROCEDURES ALSO ENSURE THAT THE QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED DURING THE EXAMINATION ARE LIMITED TO THOSE NECESSARY TO RESOLVE SECURITY ISSUES. NO QUESTIONS ARE ASKED WHICH DO NOT HAVE SECURITY IMPLICATIONS. EACH OF THE QUESTIONS TO BE ASKED IS REVIEWED WITH THE INDIVIDUAL PRIOR TO THE EXAMINATION, AND THE INDIVIDUAL IS AFFORDED AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE KNOWN IN ADVANCE ANY OBJECTIONS OR RELEVANT INFORMATION WHICH MIGHT AFFECT HIS OR HER ANSWER TO THE QUESTION. THE INDIVIDUAL, OF COURSE, MAY TERMINATE THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW AT ANY TIME.

SHOULD A POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION RESULT IN EVIDENCE OF CRIMINAL CONDUCT, THIS INFORMATION IS STRICTLY CONTROLLED AND IS RELEASED ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF

EXECUTIVE ORDERS AND THE CLEAR INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY AS EXPLICITLY AUTHORIZED EACH TIME IN WRITING BY THE DDCI. THERE.

ARE TWO WAYS IN WHICH THIS RELEASE OF INFORMATION OCCURS.

UNDER THE CRIMES REPORTING PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER 12333, EVIDENCE OF SERIOUS FEDERAL CPIMES SPECIFIED IN THOSE PROCEDURES IS REPORTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. THOSE PROCEDURES REQUIRE THAT THE RELEVANT INFORMATION BE FIRST REPORTED TO JUSTICE BY MEANS OF A "JOHN DOE" LETTER WITH AN IDENTIFYING CASE NUMBER. IN THE EVENT JUSTICE DECIDES TO FURTHER INVESTIGATE A PARTICULAR CASE, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED AT THEIR REQUEST.

POLYGRAPH INFORMATION IS ALSO RELEASED IN A LIMITED AND CONTROLLED FASHION IN THE COURSE OF NATIONAL AGENCY CHECKS PERFORMED PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER 10450. OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES WITH NATIONAL SECURITY RESPONSIBILITIES MAY RUN A SECURITY CHECK ON ONE OF THEIR APPLICANTS BY ASKING THE AGENCY WHETHER WE HAVE ANY INFORMATION, ON THAT INDIVIDUAL. HIS INDIVIDUAL, WE COMMUNICATE EVENT THAT WE HAVE POLYGRAPH THE RESULTS OF THAT INTERVIEW TO THEM FOR LEAD PURPOSES AFTER THE DDCI HAS DETERMINED FROM SECURITY'S WRITTEN PEITITON THAT THE PROVISION OF THIS INFORMATION IS REQUIRED IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL SECURITY. ADDITIONALLY, SHOULD THE AGENCY DEVELOP SPECIFIC COUNTERINTELLIGENCE INFORMATION, THIS WILL BE TRANSFERRED TO THE APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENT AGENCY, AGAIN AFTER THE DDCI DETERMINES THAT THE TRANSFER OF INFORMATION IS IN THE INTEREST OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY.

FINALLY, INSOFAR AS THE UTILITY OF THE POLYGRAPH IS

CONCERNED, THE BEST EVIDENCE IS EXAMPLE. PERMIT ME TO

SUMMARIZE THREE RECENT CASES IN WHICH THE POLYGRAPH PLAYED A

CRUCIAL ROLE IN DEVELOPING AND CONFIRMING SECURITY-RELATED

INFORMATION:

EXAMPLE NUMBER ONE

OUR FIRST CASE INVOLVES AN INTELLIGENCE ANALYST WHO JOINED THE AGENCY FOLLOWING A FAVORABLE PRE-EMPLOYMENT INVESTIGATION AND POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW. DURING A REINVESTIGATION POLYGRAPH, THE EMPLOYEE ADMITTED DISCUSSING THE CONTENTS OF SECRET DOCUMENTS WITH THE SPOUSE, A NATURALIZED UNITED STATES CITIZEN. THE SPOUSE WORKED AT THE TIME AS A CONSULTANT FOR A FOREIGN EMBASSY, AND HAD AS A PRINCIPAL CONTACT A KNOWN OFFICER OF A HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE SERVICE. FOR THIS THE EMPLOYEE WAS OFFICIALLY REPRIMANDED.

DURING A FURTHER REINVESTIGATION POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW, THIS EMPLOYEE ADMITTED ADDITIONAL SIGNIFICANT, UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES TO BOTH THE SPOUSE AND TO FRIENDS. THIS EMPLOYEE FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGED HAVING HAD UNAUTHORIZED CONTACT WITH A FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SERVICE AND HAVING REQUESTED ITS ASSISTANCE IN DEVELOPING CERTAIN RESEARCH MATERIALS. THE EMPLOYEE ALSO ADMITTED DURING THIS POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION HAVING DISCUSSED CERTAIN CLASSIFIED TECHNICAL COLLECTION CAPABILITIES WITH SEVERAL UNCLEARED FRIENDS. ADDITIONALLY, DISCLOSURES TO THE SPOUSE INVOLVED ECONOMIC INTELLIGENCE AND MILITARY

INTELLIGENCE. FINALLY, AND OF PARTICULAR CONCERN, WAS THE EMPLOYEE'S ADMISSION OF HAVING TOLD THE SPOUSE THAT THE SPOUSE'S CLIENT WAS AFFILIATED WITH A HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE SERVICE. THE INFORMATION ELICITED BY THE POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW PROVIDED A MORE THAN ADEQUATE BASIS FOR THE AGENCY TO TAKE ACTION IN THIS CASE. GIVEN THE HISTORY OF REPEATED UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE VIOLATIONS AND THE SPOUSE'S DUTIES AND INTERACTION WITH HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE SERVICE OFFICIALS, THE EMPLOYEE WAS ASKED TO RESIGN IN LIEU OF TERMINATION AND DID SO.

EXAMPLE NUMBER TWO

100

THE NEXT CASE CONCERNS A MILITARY DETAILEE WHO WAS ASSIGNED TO THE NATIONAL PHOTOGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION CENTER (NPIC) FACILITY TO PERFORM CLERICAL DUTIES. THIS INDIVIDUAL HAD AT ONE TIME WORKED FOR THE AGENCY, BUT HAD LEFT TO ENTER THE ARMED SERVICES AND WAS ON ACTIVE MILITARY DUTY WHEN DETAILED TO NPIC. WHILE AT NPIC, THE INDIVIDUAL HAD A TOP SECRET CLEARANCE AND WAS PROVIDED ACCESS TO SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION. DURING A PERIODIC REINVESTIGATION POLYGRAPH, THE INDIVIDUAL REVEALED CERTAIN FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES AND WAS REFERRED TO THE AGENCY'S PERSONAL AFFAIRS BRANCH TO OBTAIN FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE. IT WAS DISCLOSED DURING FOLLOW-UP REINVESTIGATION POLYGRAPHS THAT THIS INDIVIDUAL PROVIDED CLASSIFIED INFORMATION WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION TO A FOREIGN SECURITY SERVICE. FURTHER, THE INDIVIDUAL ADMITTED TAKING UNCLEARED FRIENDS INTO A SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/19: CIA-RDP87S00869R000600080015-7

FACILITY ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, AND EXPLAINING TO THEM THE CLASSIFIED MISSION AND FUNCTIONS OF THE INSTALLATION.

BASED UPON THESE FACTS, THIS EMPLOYEE WAS ASKED TO RESIGN IN LIEU OF TERMINATION AND DID SO.

EXAMPLE NUMBER THREE

THE LAST CASE INVOLVES AN INDIVIDUAL WHO JOINED THE AGENCY IN THE 1950'S FOLLOWING A FAVORABLE PRE-EMPLOYMENT INVESTIGATION AND POLYGRAPH INTERVIEW AND SERVED AS A CASE OFFICER OVERSEAS. PRIOR TO TAKING A REINVESTIGATION POLYGRAPH, THIS INDIVIDUAL LEFT THE AGENCY TO PURSUE PRIVATE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES. FOLLOWING THE FAILURE OF THESE VARIOUS BUSINESS VENTURES, THIS INDIVIDUAL CONTACTED A HOSTILE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SERVICE OFFICER KNOWN FROM EARLIER AGENCY SERVICE. IN ORDER TO RECOUP THE FINANCIAL LOSSES, THE INDIVIDUAL AGREED TO PROVIDE THIS FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE OFFICER WITH INFORMATION CONCERNING THE INDIVIDUAL'S CLANDESTINE ACTIVITIES WITH THE AGENCY, AS WELL AS THE IDENTITIES OF CERTAIN FORMER AGENCY COLLEAGUES. THE FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE OFFICER THEN URGED THE INDIVIDUAL TO REAPPLY TO THE AGENCY, BUT THE INDIVIDUAL REFUSED TO DO SO FOR FEAR OF TAKING A POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION. THIS WAS LEARNED FROM THE INDIVIDUAL DURING AN INTERVIEW CONDUCTED FOLLOWING CONVICTION FOR ESPIONAGE. WHILE THE AGENCY THUS SUFFERED CERTAIN UNAVOIDABLE DAMAGE IN THIS

CASE, THE POLYGRAPH PROVED INVALUABLE IN PREVENTING FURTHER INJURY BY SERVING AS A FORMIDABLE OBSTACLE THE INDIVIDUAL'S REEMPLOYMENT AT THE AGENCY.

THE STATISTICS CITED EARLIER AS WELL AS THESE CASE EXAMPLES SPEAK CONVINCINGLY FOR THE UTILITY OF THE POLYGRAPH PROCESS. I CERTAINLY HAVE NO ARGUMENT WITH THOSE WHO WISH TO SEE MORE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ON POLYGRAPHY, BUT I DO HAVE GREAT DIFFICULTY WITH THOSE WHO CONCLUDE THAT THIS PROCESS DOES NOT WORK OR THAT IT IS TOO LITTLE UNDERSTOOD TODAY EVEN TO BE USED.

IN CLOSING, I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHASIZE OUR USE AND

EXPERIENCE WITH THE POLYGRAPH OVER THE LAST 36 YEARS: WE HAVE

FOUND THAT WITH CAREFULLY-CHOSEN EXAMINERS AND A

THOUGHTFULLY-STRUCTURED AND TIGHTLY-CONTROLLED PROGRAM, THE

POLYGRAPH IS AN EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE TOOL IN PROTECTING THE

AGENCY FROM UNSUITABLE INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD CLEARLY POSE AN

UNACCEPTABLE SECURITY RISK. AT THE SAME TIME, THE POLYGRAPH

HAS BEEN SHOWN TO BE VERY HELPFUL IN DETECTING AS PART OF OUR

REINVESTIGATION EFFORTS THAT RELATIVELY SMALL NUMBER OF AGENCY

EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BECOME SECURITY RISKS SINCE ENTERING ON

DUTY. FINALLY, THE POLYGRAPH HAS EXONERATED SOME OF OUR PEOPLE

WHO WERE ALLEGED TO HAVE BEEN A RISK OR TO HAVE COMMITTED SOME

SORT OF WRONGDOING.

I HOPE THAT MY REMARKS WILL BE USEFUL TO YOU DURING YOUR DELIBERATIONS. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.